

UNITED JATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST N	AMED INVENTOR	Α	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/233,694	01/19/9	9 BRENNEN		Ř.	5000-0016	
٦			TM4 7/0	222	EXAMINER		
	HEWLETT-PA	CKARD COMP		IM62/0323 '		YOUNG, C	
	LEGAL DEPA	RTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	3000 HÁNOVI MS 20BO PALO ALTO !			e Samera de la companya de la compa	1756	3	
	THEO HEID	CH 74304			DATE MAILED:	03/23/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Tradem

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/233,694	Brennen et al.					
- Cine Frederica Gammary	Examiner	Group Art Unit 1756					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence add	Irono				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILIN	NG DATE				
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,	within the statutory minimu	um of thirty (30) days will be considered	timely.				
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on///9 / 9							
☐ This action is FINAL.							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		• •					
Ø Claim(s)		ic/oro ponding in the court	, .•				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consid	- is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.					
☐ Claim(s)							
□ Claim(s) / つ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /		is/are objected to.	>				
Application Papers		are subject to restriction of e requirement.	election				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	•						
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. 							
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).							
*Certified copies not received:							
Attachment(s)		•					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).							
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892							
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		ce of Informal Patent Application, I					
		er					
Office Act	ion Summary						

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

....

Part of Paper No. 3

Application/Control Number: 09/233,694 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to methods of producing textured surfaces, classified in class 430, subclass 322.
 - II. Claims 23-70, drawn to textured substrates, classified in class 430, subclass 9.
 - III. Claims 71-76, drawn to a replication method, classified in class 430, subclass 30.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group I or III and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case there are varied methods of producing the textured substrates as claimed that include patentably distinct methods. Additionally, the reproduction methods of Group III are contingent on the specific manipulations of Group I and are also drawn to varied patentably distinct methods. This is discussed in further detail in the species election set forth below.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1756

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The multiple process variations of Groups I and III set forth above in the claims, and further discussed in the specification. The different types of processes are all independent and distinct species within the basic process of forming the textured surface. Varied searches are required depending on the elected species. Additionally, the product by process claims of Group II are also drawn to varied species with respect to the process manipulations utilized in their formation.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 23, 48, 71 and 74 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/233,694 Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Young whose telephone number is (703) 308-2984.

CHRISTOPHER G. YOUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

cgy

March 22, 2000